

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 20-1190****September Term, 2020****FCC-20-51****Filed On: October 1, 2020**

AT&amp;T Services, Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Federal Communications Commission and  
United States of America,

Respondents

-----  
Apple Inc., et al.,Intervenors  
-----Consolidated with 20-1216, 20-1272,  
20-1274, 20-1281, 20-1284**BEFORE:** Tatel, Wilkins, and Katsas, Circuit Judges**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the emergency motions to stay, the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc.'s alternative request for expedition, the oppositions to the emergency motions, and the replies, it is

**ORDERED** that the emergency motions to stay be denied. Movants have not satisfied the stringent requirements for a stay pending court review. See Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009); D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 33 (2019). It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the alternative request for expedition be denied. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** on the court's own motion, that within 30 days of the date of this order, the parties submit a proposed format for the briefing of these cases. The parties are strongly urged to submit a joint proposal and are reminded that the court looks with extreme disfavor on repetitious submissions and will, where appropriate,

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 20-1190****September Term, 2020**

require a joint brief of aligned parties with total words not to exceed the standard allotment for a single brief. Whether the parties are aligned or have disparate interests, they must provide *detailed* justifications for any request to file separate briefs or to exceed in the aggregate the standard word allotment. Requests to exceed the standard word allotment must specify the word allotment necessary for each issue.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Manuel J. Castro

Deputy Clerk