

Leaders in Public Safety Communications®

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Derek K. Poarch poarchd@apcointl.org

HEADQUARTERS

J. Rhett McMillian, Jr. Building 351 North Williamson Boulevard Daytona Beach, FL 32114-1112 386-322-2500

EXECUTIVE OFFICES Gregory T. Riddle Building 1426 Prince Street Alexandria, VA 22314 571-312-4400

www.apcointl.org

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2020 – 2021

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Margie Moulin, RPL, CPE margie.moulin@ecso911.com

First Vice President

Jason E. Kern, CPE jkern@seecom911.org

Second Vice President

Angela R. Bowen, RPL, CPE abowen@gpstc.org

Immediate Past President

Tracey Hilburn RPL, ENP hilburn911@bellsouth.net

East Coast Region

Charlene A. Frisk, RPL, CPE Frank T. Thomason, ENP

Gulf Coast Region

Stephen P. Martini, RPL Jack Varnado, RPL

North Central Region

Matthew D. Franke Michael R. O'Connor

Western Region

Sheila Blevins. RPL, CPE Jennifer Reese, CPE

Commercial Advisory Council Derrick Duggins

February 11, 2021

Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 45 L Street, NE Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte, PS Docket Nos. 20-291, 09-14

On February 9 and 10, the undersigned spoke by phone with Ethan Lucarelli of Acting Chairwoman Rosenworcel's Office and Austin Bonner of Commissioner Starks's Office, respectively, to discuss the draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)¹ regarding 9-1-1 fee diversion.

In these conversations APCO proposed a minor change to the NPRM to invite public comments that would support the Commission's efforts to make its approach to 9-1-1 fee diversion more helpful. Specifically, APCO suggested that the NPRM highlight the congressional directive to report on information regarding the impact of any underfunding of 9-1-1 services² and seek comment on how the Commission can emphasize this aspect of its information collection and reports.

As APCO has explained, the most important goal of combatting fee diversion, from a public safety perspective, is ensuring that 9-1-1 has the funding it needs, regardless of whether the funding comes from fees on phone bills, state general funds, or other sources.³ Emphasizing the Commission's duty to examine the impact and extent of underfunding with regard to 9-1-1 services would encourage commenters to focus on this important aspect of fee diversion and help the Commission develop a more effective approach.

¹ 911 Fee Diversion; New and Emerging Technologies 911 Improvement Act of 2008, PS Docket Nos. 20-291, 09-14, *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, FCC-CIRC2102-01 (rel. Jan. 27, 2021).

² *Id.* at para. 8 n.23 (explaining that "In addition, Section 902(d)(2) provides that, beginning with the first annual fee report 'that is required to be submitted after the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act,' the Commission shall include in each report 'all evidence that suggests the diversion by a State or taxing jurisdiction of 9-1-1 fees or charges, including any information regarding the impact of any underfunding of 9-1-1 services in the State or taxing jurisdiction."").

³ Comments of APCO International, PS Docket Nos. 20-291, 09-14, at 2 (filed Jan. 7, 2021).

Respectfully submitted,

APCO INTERNATIONAL

By:

Mark S. Reddish Senior Counsel (571) 312-4400 ext. 7011 reddishm@apcointl.org

CC (via email):

Austin Bonner Ethan Lucarelli